# Non-linear Schrödinger equation with point interactions

Raffaele Scandone (SISSA Trieste)

#### Trieste Junior Quantum Days, 18 May 2018

Based on joint works with Vladimir Georgiev, Alessandro Michelangeli and Alessandro Olgiati.

Formally, consider the equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta_x + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \,\delta(x-y_j) + \mathcal{N}(u),$$

where  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$  are distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which supports delta-like interactions of strenght  $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N\}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  is a non-linear interaction potential.

Formally, consider the equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta_x + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \,\delta(x-y_j) + \mathcal{N}(u),$$

where  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$  are distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which supports delta-like interactions of strenght  $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N\}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  is a non-linear interaction potential.

#### Why we consider point interactions?

Why we consider non-linear potentials?

How to give a rigorous meaning to the equation?

#### Point interactions, motivations

$$-\Delta_{\mathsf{x}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \, \mu_j \, \delta(\mathsf{x} - \mathsf{y}_j)$$

provides an heuristic model for a quantum particle subject to a "contact potential", created by point sources of strenght  $\mu_{\gamma}$  centered at  $y_j$ .

- Kronig and Penney (1931) consider the 1D case as a model for a non-relativistic electron moving in a fixed crystal lattice.
- Bethe, Peierls (1935) and Thomas (1935) consider the 3D case with y = 0. Introducing the center of mass and relative coordinates, this provides a model for a deuteron with idealized zero-range nuclear force between the nucleons.
- Appears in many contexts: nuclear physics, solid state physics etc.
- Provide "solvable" approximation of more complicated and realistic phenomena, governed by very short range interactions

#### Non-linear potentials, motivations

Consider the 3D many-body Hamiltonian

$$H_N := \sum_{j=1}^N -\Delta_{x_j} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w_N(x_j - x_k),$$

where  $w_N$  is a pontential governing the interaction between the particles. Assume Bose-Einstein condensation at time t = 0:

$$\psi_0^{(N)}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)\approx\prod_{j=1}^Nu_0(x_j),\quad N\gg 1.$$

Then we have condensation at any time t > 0:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{itH_N}\psi_0^{(N)} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, \dots, x_N) \approx \prod_{j=1}^N u(t, x_j), \quad N \gg 1,$$

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + \mathcal{N}(u) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{N}(u) = \begin{cases} (w * |u|^2)u & w_N = N^{-1}w \\ |u|^2u & w_N = N^2w(Nx) \end{cases}$$

$$H_{N,\varepsilon} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( -\Delta_{x_j} + V_{\varepsilon}(x_j) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w_N(x_j - x_k),$$

where  $V_{\varepsilon}$  are smooth potentials, shrinking around the origin in such a way to create a delta-like profile as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Assume Bose-Einstein condensation at time t = 0.

- Is condensation preserved, at least for short times?
- Can we rigorous prove that the evolution of the condensate is governed by the equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + \mu \delta(x) + \mathcal{N}(u)$$

in the limit  $N \to +\infty$  and  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ?

Work in progress with A. Michelangeli and A. Olgiati. As a first step, we need to show existence of solutions for the limit equation.

#### Rigorous construction of point interactions

Assume, for simplicity, a single center at the origin.

• In dimension one, consider the quadratic form

$$Q(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\partial_x f} \cdot \partial_x g \, dx + \mu \overline{f(0)} g(0), \quad f,g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

From Q, we realise  $-\Delta_x + \mu \delta(x)$  as a *self-adjoint operator* on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

• In higher dimension, we need a different approach. Suppose d = 3. The symmetric operator  $-\Delta|_{\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\setminus\{0\})}$  has a one-parameter family of self-adjoint extension  $\{-\Delta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in(-\infty,+\infty]}$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}(-\Delta_{lpha}) = \left\{ \psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) : \psi = F_{\lambda} + rac{F_{\lambda}(0)}{lpha + rac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}} rac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{4\pi|x|} : F_{\lambda} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) 
ight\}$$
 $(-\Delta_{lpha} + \lambda)\psi = (-\Delta + \lambda)F_{\lambda}$ 

• The parameter  $\alpha$  is related to the *scattering lenght* of the system at the centre of interaction. Indeed, a generic element  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(-\Delta_{\alpha})$  satisfies the so called *Bethe-Peierls contact condition* 

$$\psi(x) \mathop{\sim}\limits_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{1}{s}, \quad s = -(4\pi\alpha)^{-1},$$

which is typical for the low-energy behaviour of an eigenstate of the Schrödinger equation for a quantum particle subject to a very short (virtually zero) range potentials, centered at the origin and with s-wave scattering lenght s.

• When  $\alpha = +\infty$ , then no actual interaction is present at the origin (the s-wave scattering lenght is zero), and we recover the free Laplacian in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

### Approximation with regular operators

Let V smooth and compactly supported, and assume that  $-\Delta + V$  has a zero energy resonance, namely a function  $\psi \in L^1 \setminus L^2$  such that

$$(-\Delta+V)\psi=0.$$

Existence of a zero energy resonance is related to *confining* property of the potential V. In particular, V must have a negative part. Define, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a function  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\lambda(0) = 1$ ,

$$V_{\varepsilon} := rac{\lambda(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} Vig(rac{x}{\varepsilon}ig)$$

The potential is shrinking around the origin. N.B. the scaling is **not** that of a delta function, but is *weaker*. We have, in a suitable resolvent sense

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}(-\Delta+V_\varepsilon)=-\Delta_\alpha$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} i\partial_t u &= -\Delta_lpha u + \mathcal{N}(u), \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \ u(0,\cdot) &= f\in X \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

where X is a suitable Hilbert space, for example  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

• Since  $-\Delta_{\alpha}$  is self-adjoint, we have a unitary evolution  $e^{-it\Delta_{\alpha}}f$ .

• Integral formulation of the equation:

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\Delta_{\alpha}}f - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{\alpha}}\mathcal{N}(u)(s)ds$$

 We search for solution u ∈ C(I, X) of the integral equation, for some time interval I.

## Energy space

Define, for  $\alpha > 0$ , the Banach space

$$H^1_{lpha} := \mathcal{D}((-\Delta_{lpha})^{1/2}) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1_{lpha}} := \|(-\Delta_{lpha} + 1)^{1/2}\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

• When  $\alpha = +\infty$ , we recover the Sobolev space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

 We have an explicit characterisation ([Georgiev, Michelangeli, S.] for a discussion of the general fractional case)

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^1_lpha &= \left\{\psi\in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\,:\,\psi=\mathcal{F}_\lambda+crac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{4\pi|x|}:\,\mathcal{F}_\lambda\in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3),c\in\mathbb{C}
ight\}\ &\left\|\mathcal{F}_\lambda+crac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{4\pi|x|}
ight\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1_lpha}\sim \|\mathcal{F}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1}+(1+lpha)|c|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The || · ||<sub>H<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub></sub>-norm is preserved by the unitary evolution e<sup>-itΔ<sub>α</sub></sup>.
We will use H<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> as the energy space also for the non-linear problem.

#### Theorem (Michelangeli, Olgiati, S., 2018)

Let  $w = |x|^{-\gamma}$ , with  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta_{\alpha} u + (w * |u|^2)u, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \in H^1_{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in C([0, T^*), H^1_{\alpha})$ , defined on a maximal time interval  $[0, T^*)$ .

We have the *blow-up* alternative:

$$T^* < +\infty \iff \lim_{t\uparrow T^*} \|u(t)\|_{H^1_{lpha}} = +\infty$$

As long as  $||u(t)||_{H^1_{\alpha}}$  stay bounded, the solution can be extended in time.

Defone the *mass* and the *energy*:

$$\mathcal{M}(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^2dx$$
 $\mathcal{E}(u):=<-\Delta_lpha u,u>+rac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(w*|u|^2)|u|^2dx$ 

- Formally, if u is a solution of the Cauchy problem, then  $\mathcal{M}(u(t))$  $\mathcal{E}(u(t))$  are conserved.
- To rigorous justify energy conservation, we need the additional asusmption that w and u are *spherically symmetric* (only a mathematical issue or there is a physical meaning?)
- We want to use mass and energy conservation to find global in time solution (condensation is preserved also for large times).

Assume that  $w \ge 0$  (repulsive interaction). Then

$$egin{aligned} \|u(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1_lpha} &pprox \mathcal{M}(u(t)) + < -\Delta_lpha u, u > \ &\leq \mathcal{M}(u(t)) + \mathcal{E}(u(t)) = \mathcal{M}(f) + \mathcal{E}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $||u(t)||_{H^1_{\alpha}}$  remains bounded, and by the blow-up alternatives the solution is global.

- Also if  $\widehat{w} \ge 0$  (physical meaning of this condition?) the potential energy is positive, whence global in time solution.
- In general, for attractive w, the dynamic is more complicated: blow-up solutions, bound states.
- It would be interesting to investigate the nature of these solutions, and how they depends on the presence of a point interaction.

## Thank you for your attention

R. Scandone (SISSA)

NLS with poin interactions

18 maggio 2018

э